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Additions aid disabled students

By Andrea Berkman
1L Staff Writer

Aviram Soifer is the new dean of the soon-to-be new Boston College Law School. Plans have been made for the construction of a new library, which should be completed within the next three years. Further plans involve the renovation and reconstruction of the academic buildings. Although these endeavors constitute long-term projects, small changes have already occurred and are continuing to occur every day at BCLS.

On Monday, September 27, Dean Lisa DiLuna spoke to The Allledger about those changes which affect disabled students at BCLS. The Americans with Disabilities Act states that all newer renovated buildings must be accessible to disabled people. Accessible is defined as conforming to the set of standards put forth in the Americans with Disabilities Act. For example, doorways must be three feet wide and all doorknobs must have a certain shape.

DiLuna stated that BCLS has already taken numerous steps toward improving the lives of its disabled students. Over the summer some pile carpeting was replaced in favor of thinner carpeting which can be more easily traversed in a wheelchair. A computerized lock was installed on the door to the room where adaptive equipment for visually impaired students is stored. This both better secures the equipment and, since it employs both sight and sound, is easier for visually impaired students to use.

The locks on the room where some of the LEXIS terminals are kept have been changed to prevent students from being accidentally locked in this area. Also higher desks have been added to room 315, so that the students in wheelchairs can work at a more appropriate height. Also on Dean DiLuna's wish list is the eventual construction of a graduate dorm, which will have several units of affordable accessible housing.

Newly-elected 1L reps join LSA

By Sean Kennedy
2L Staff Writer

Both 1L sections elected two LSA representatives last month. The four 1Ls expect to contribute to LSA immediately. A profile of each new rep:

Peter Lindau, Section 2
Peter, a native New Yorker, graduated from the University of Vermont in 1985, the year I got my braces off and finally moved from briefs to briefers. He earned a degree in philosophy, but instead of going directly into the pro he opted to work in the television industry. The rest is history—you may know him now as Brandon Walsh on “Beverly Hills 90210.” Seriously, though, Peter produced a weekly television show called “The Wall Street Journal Report,” which he claims can be seen in Boston “at some ridiculous hour early Saturday morning.”

Peter thinks it’s too early to try to formulate an agenda for his role at LSA representative, he sees it as mostly an advocacy position. He welcomes the input of his classmates, and says that he has already received some excellent suggestions for change. Peter urges his peers to come to him with any concerns they may have, and to attend LSA meetings (they’re free and you might be able to stuggle some food or something).

BCLS SPORTS

Geoff Howell reports on the BCLS squash ladder.
Alexis Shapiro updates the softball season.
Yolanda Williams describes the ABA/LSD.
FROM THE EDITOR’S DESK
Columbus Day ‘93: Why celebrate it?
By David Feldman
Editor-in-Chief

Monday is Columbus Day. I am going to utilize the remainder of this paragraph for expounding upon the great significance which I attach to this holiday.

That’s right, the paragraph ended. Columbus Day just doesn’t make it as a holiday. Sure it may be great to miss a day of school or work, but that can be done without elevating Chris to near-deity status.

First, the guy didn’t even discover America. Native Americans were already here. Leif Erickson had already been here.

Columbus was trying to take a new route to the Far East.

I submit that there are far better reasons for getting a day off on October 11 other than this ‘monumentous event.’ There are plenty of illustrious Americans with no holiday.

We can still have all the benefits of Columbus Day (missing school or work) and eliminate of the unwanted negatives (recognizing Columbus). I have outlined two proposals for effecting this much needed change.

Proposal Number One: Recognize more Americans for their great achievements.

Hundreds of great scholars, activists, scientists, artists, and others—some of whom have made a positive impact on the USA—are largely unheard of. The second Monday in October is a good enough time for a day off. Why should it be used to recognize the same dubious, 15th-century achiever every year?

Proposal Number Two: Eliminate Columbus Day. Go commercial. This proposal certainly does not seem as noble as Proposal Number One, but it could put a dent in the national debt.

Here’s how it works: The government puts the second Monday in October up for sale. Any individual, group of individuals, corporation or organization could buy the recognition. How much do you think Ted Turner would pay for “Superstation Day”? Maybe American cars would end up holidays been created by Halliburton's. I suck at math, but let's move on.

The bottom line is that Columbus Day must go. This country tolerated the nonstop hype surrounding the 500th anniversary of the voyage last year. One or two people even watched the movie. Columbus has been over-rated and over-recognized long enough. He’s in his half-millennium. Let’s not come to school on Monday, but then let’s move on.

COMMENT

NAFTA: The soundest plan for U.S. trade

Pact would help global community

By Steven Davis
II. Staff Writer

Observing the struggle over the ratification of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), it seems that through final passage, the agreement still depends on much more than economists and their predictions of how many jobs will be lost or created if the agreement is ratified. Economic experts will speak with near unanimity about NAFTA and its effect on the American economy. The conclusion reached by most experts is more trade means more jobs. The passage of NAFTA, at its end, will probably turn more on cultural and social questions we have to answer about ourselves, and less on the number of jobs gained and lost.

The end of the Cold War brought about many societal changes, some immediate and some not so readily apparent. Certainly one of the foremost questions facing the United States internationally is one of identity—should it be an interventionist or global policeman, a partner with many others, or a more withdrawn sleeping giant? With America struggling to redefine itself, the question of what role the only economic and military superpower should play is, its surprise that this seems to be no consensus on what to do in Bosnia, in Somalia, or with Mexico. In short, it’s no coincidence that a great part of the American public has become really interested in trade issue for the first time since 1832.

Most trade talk starts, after all, go by hardly a mention. Discussions on the General Agreements on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) have gone on for years, and hardly anyone knows. Most Americans would be hard-pressed to tell you that the last round of GATT talks was the Uruguay round. Most of us, including Ferris Bueller, also cannot say what the provisions of the protectionist Smoot-Hawley Act were. As a people, trade discussions bore us. Why does NAFTA have so many people talking?

Ever since Ross Perot declared that passage of NAFTA would result in a “gi­ant sucking sound” of jobs being pulled across the border, battle lines have been drawn about NAFTA’s implications both economically, and perhaps more importantly to many NAFTA opponents, socially. A sense of that message is even carried in the title of Ross Perot’s political diatribe against NAFTA—Save Your Job, Save Our Country.

Here, as with much else about NAFTA, there is almost certainly more than meets the eye. Perot and Pat Buchanan in their write global community if world not I, guess, have problems if such agreements were made with Europe. Most right wing opponents of NAFTA just seem deeply vexed when it comes to trying America to Mexico in a free trade link as free as the trade across a border between two states in the United States. In an age where an old international villain, the Soviet Union, has fallen, new threats and new enemies—supposed Japanese鋼 med trade or cheap Asian or Mexican labor—must rise to take the place of the Commie. The Simple for Perot, Buchanan and others.

Right now the United States runs a huge trade surplus with Mexico, despite the fact that Mexico’s trade barriers are roughly two-and-a-half times higher on average (10 percent to four percent) than American trade barriers. Remove those barriers and trade, if history is any guide, will continue to boom. In the final for Perot, Buchanan and like­minded others, the base impetus behind their opposition to NAFTA is motivated as much by the specter of new enemies and new cultural evils as it is by any sound eco­nomic logic.

The question before us comes down to the new definition of America at home and abroad. Are we an America of xenophobes with walls at the borders, or are we an America with a broader hemi­spherical or global outlook that swims with the currents of liberalization of trade?

Here, as with much else about NAFTA, there is almost certainly more than meets the eye. Perot and Pat Buchanan in their
Taking the MPRE in November?

Let SMH prepare you quickly and completely

1. Review and analyze subject matter and practice questions in our 4-hour class.

2. Refer to both the Code and the Rules in our comprehensive text.

3. Refine your knowledge and skills with 3 complete practice exams.

for more information call (800) 343-9188
Coming soon: It's "Those Crazy Clerks!"

Prime time needs real law show

By Larry Dobrow
2L Executive Editor

Okay, I've got it. I think I've found my way out of this sticking morass we call law school. I'm going to create and produce a television series about lawyers. But Larry, you can't. There aren't already eight zillion shows and movies about lawyers? Doesn't the public consider lawyers the human equivalent of hamster dropping pranks? Don't you, like, have any summer job interviews that might interfere with your production schedule?

The answers to those questions are, respectively, "yes," "yes," and "you'll juggle to kiss my butt?" But bear with me for a minute here.

When your average nospecking "L.A. Law" or "Law and Order" fan thinks of a lawyer, what image does she conjure up? That of an impossibly thin, Armani-clad nitwit prancing in front of stern-faced judges. Snakeskin briefcase in tow, Monaco-draped nymp for a New Jersey Supreme Court Judge.

"Bills, the show won't concern itself with the shenanigans, like pretending to doze off during cross examinations. Nor, this show will be the first to feature the Law Clerks, those plucky wannabes who pretty much run the joint. You know the type: JD s from the University of Guam, early departures for Bon Jovi concerts at the Meadowlands, polyester as far as the eye can see.

But why? Because I don't want any judges. Snakeskin briefcase in tow, Monica-draped nymph for a New Jersey Supreme Court Judge.

"The people are more shocking than the show itself. Everyone is pacing. Everyone is tense. Everyone is dressed like me. Realizing it is too late to go back to my apartment to grab my "Grey's Anatomy" Christmas-candy-playing candy cane tie, I look on the board to find out the information for my interview. There it is: Dewey, Cheatham & Howe; room 907 M. I'm sitting at this table because I have never heard of nor visited, M578. When I find out M578 is the office of the firm handshake, look 'em in the eye, don't throw up on their shoes, check your fly." It says, "Could you possibly wait a minute while I write a few things down?" "Sure, no problem," I say. "I haven't said a word yet and I'm already screwed." I think. The moments that follow are excruciating. I feel like an audience member at a game show waiting for Johnny Olson to say "Come on down! Your next opportunity: "Your Chances Are Slight." I can hear the big band sound of Bob Barker playing the "Spose or Neuter Blues" as the door opens. I just hope I don't have to play Flinco. Flinco sucks.

10:05: The door opens. The interviewer says, "Hi! Are you Anthony?" I say, "No, I'm the Pope, but I was told to dress casual for the big firm interview..."—you know, to break the ice.

"Needless to say, I merely nodded. We exchanged handshakes, I refrained from redecorating shorthand, and the interview commenced. The questions were pretty run of the mill stuff: Why Dewey Cheatham? What made you want to practice law? Why Rochester? Can you recite the infaldible rule? What was the street name of the Gayetty Address?" (a trick question—it had a Post Office Box). Why the dash grip on the handle of the chair? Do your veins always pop out of your head like that? Aren't you glad you used Tie? Tell me about your childhood? You get the idea.

I'm told through questions fairly well, my suit as only half-drenched with sweat and the drool on my chin is barely noticeable. Then, the most evil statement imaginable emanates from the lips of the interviewer: "I've asked a lot of questions about you; do you have any questions about the firm or the summer program?" While my mind immediately goes blank, I respond in the most thoughtful tone I could muster. "Could you tell me about your environmental practice?" The interviewer's mood is instantly somber. 

I walk past the previous victim and exit the department. The kind of day that really lent itself to gratuitous alliteration. As I step out of my life, I walk into my future.
The existence of loops sneak up on anybody. I wouldn't, for one second, Rehnquist doesn't just slide neatly into the game that party lines suggest. Rather, the problem with zen out, along with Willie Mapplethorpe, and Ozzy that lies an open field that can be influenced by the slightest differentiation. The founding fathers were a bunch of stiffs anyway.

The problem with a sliding scale is the possibility for abuse; for separate and unequal results. Madonna, Mapplethorpe, and Ozzy slide neatly into the gambit of the First Amendment but Ice-T gets frozen out, along with Willie D., Snoop Dog, Tupac, and countless other African-American artists, the gray area would seem to favor the ugly snipes that wouldn't, for one second, characterize their moral paradigm as patient racism.

The existence of loop holes isn't tantamount to a complex network of specifications, but instead, evinces that, at the root of official decision making, lies an open field that can be influenced by the slightest differentiation of belief or affiliation. The appeals cases that litter our textbooks may seem to be founded on the strictest of logic, but we seldom get the entire fact pattern. Because ambiguity lends itself to a number of possible results, the inception point is a prime mover in the eventual path that a case may take. Holdouts don't take shape according to some preconceived Taosist route that the law is supposed to take. It is most certainly subject to the will and savvy of those in the seats of influence... unlike my approach with society. It don't matter for shit if the law does on a given day, it will most likely never do it again. He was assured that I could just take hold of the urine tests of countless other African-American artists, the smalle~t of ancient crap though, and it is. Now knowing full well that not even the savviest of adversaries.

The small of ancient crap though, and it is. Now knowing full well that not even the savviest of adversaries.

Tom claims that he was elected to the law school almost effortless. "I'm in my car and on my way home to get out of the monkey suit and get on with my miserable life. My feelings are mixed: I feel happy the interview is over, but I feel like it went bad and my chances for a callback are snowball-in-half material. I guess it's like repeatedly getting hit on the head by a ball-pen hammer—the only good thing about it is when the banging stops. It's been a long day.

Lawyers haunted by gray areas, two iron Equities, par nowhere in sight

By Jason Taierman
31. Executive Editor

Your point is not well taken.

I was assured that I could just take hold of the yoke of the beast...I'd be able to employ professional tactics to usurp the aims of even the savviest of adversaries. The smallest of ancient notions would rush to my side...like fucking Fabio on the hill of the meadow, on the stallion, juiced up on enough pectoral designated steroids to blow the urine tests of the entire Chinese women's long distance track team. It had to be crap through, and its. Now I know that law is the grand celebration of gray area. Regardless of what the law does on a given day, it will most likely never do it again.

Last week, trudging down the rain soaked 6th fairway of one of Massachusetts' worst public health law. Dan feels that his most important job as LSA representative will be keeping his ear to the ground: "I think listening carefully to the concerns of students is essential to this position."

Josette Stones, Section 1

Josette is obviously a very busy woman, for we had a half of a time pinning her down for an interview. I ended speaking more to her answering machine than to her, but at least I got a kick out of her message.

Josette claims that she has no major complaints about law school, given her time—she's only been here a couple of weeks. She commends the staff for being accessible to the students, and the administration for communicating with the student body. Josette sees a certain conservatism among BCLS students which contributes to a high morale around the school. She says that her class was supported and welcomed by the upper classes and that "in such a way to make the transition into law school almost effortless." Her goal as LSA Representative is to foster this general good attitude. Josette also has the nicest penmanship of any 1L rep.

The problem with a sliding scale is the possibility for abuse; for separate and unequal results. Madonna, Mapplethorpe, and Ozzy slide neatly into the gambit of the First Amendment but Ice-T gets frozen out, along with Willie D., Snoop Dog, Tupac, and countless other African-American artists, the gray area would seem to favor the ugly snipes that wouldn't, for one second, characterize their moral paradigm as patent racism.

The existence of loop holes isn't tantamount to a complex network of specifications, but instead, evinces that, at the root of official decision making, lies an open field that can be influenced by the slightest differentiation of belief or affiliation. The appeals cases that litter our textbooks may seem to be founded on the strictest of logic, but we seldom get the entire fact pattern. Because ambiguity lends itself to a number of possible results, the inception point is a prime mover in the eventual path that a case may take. Holdouts don't take shape according to some preconceived Taosist route that the law is supposed to take. It is most certainly subject to the will and savvy of those in the seats of influence...unlike my approach with society.

Dan claims that he was elected to the new quartet set to contribute to law school community

Tom Burton, Section 2

Tom comes to us from a forearm place that some of us do have only heard about: main campus. He graduated from Boston College last May with a degree in English (the best of which English majors sympathize with him and applaud his decision to attend law school). Other pertinent facts about Tom: his hometown is Suffield, Connecticut and he was born on Bastille Day.

Dan Hampton, Section 1

Originally from a rural community outside of Kansas City, Missouri, Dan completed a bachelor's degree at Harvard University in 1992. Says Dan, "I worked throughout my undergrad career as a doorman/floorman/bouncer at a comedy club, which has irreparably altered my sense of humor." He married his wife Lisa, a high school English teacher, last April.

Dan claims that he was elected to the interview for the question and stands up to my goodbye. I offer my transcript and writing sample for the obligatory justification to be answered by the school, and open the door. At this point it is cruel (but fun) to look at the next victim, roll your eyes, pretend to cry, and walk briskly down the hall (painful, satanic exclamations are purely optional but are suggested for optimum effect).

10:32: I'm in my car and on my way home to get out of the monkey suit and get on with my miserable life. My feelings are mixed: I feel happy the interview is over, but I feel like it went bad and my chances for a callback are snowball-in-half material. I guess it's like repeatedly getting hit on the head by a ball-pen hammer—the only good thing about it is when the banging stops. It's been a long day.
By Geoff Howell
11. Staff Writer

Those of you looking for an inexpensive, convenient way to stay in shape should consider picking up squash. No, we don’t mean heading over to the Newton ton farm market and grabbing a warmed-up goody as players may have hidden the benefits. The racket of concern is racquetball—a relative of racquetball and tennis currently facilitated at BCLS by 3Ls Christopher Mireble and Tim Gudas.

Chris Thome ’93 and some friends started a squash ladder last year, making copies of the list of players and distributing them by mail.” Mireble explained. “I helped Tim and me to take over this year since we were the top two returning players and we tried to make it a little more maintenance. Now I’m (Chairman of the) Board of Student Advisors, I had access to our bulletin board.”

The BCLS Squash Ladder is now on display outside of the Board of Student Advisors’ office on the third floor of Stuart Hall. An unlimited number of players may hold spots on the ladder, ranked by skill. A lower-ranked player may challenge a player in a higher position at any time. If the favorite is defeated, or she drops to the spot on the ladder previously occupied by the challenger. All players in the spots between the two players consequently moved up one rung. For example, if #8 defeats #3, #3 would then become #8, #8 becomes #7, #7 becomes #6, and so on.

“When I was a first year, I used to circulate a list of available opponents through The Counselor. I didn’t get too many responses that way,” Mireble said. “It’s easier and quicker to challenge a player in a higher position at any time. It’s more useful because people in the same area of the rankings can find someone with a similar skill.”

Competitors use one of the three 32-foot long by 18-foot wide courts at the Flynn Recreation Complex on main campus ($3 admission for non-members). Players may rent equipment from the Plex for a nominal fee, although purchasing a nearly nine-inch-long racquet is fairly inexpensive ($20 and up).

“Matches are supposed to be at least a best-of-five game series, but most people play best-of-seven,” Mireble said. “You are supposed to play at least once every two weeks or you lose your spot on the ladder.”

The object of play is a small, rubber ball of varying resistance. “Hardball” squash, traditionally the North American game, uses a ball that bounces less vertically than a softball, the International counterpart preferred by most of the BCLS squash enthusiasts. The ladder has the challenge of playing hardball (games to 15 points) or softball (games to nine points). Either ball is significantly less “bouncy” than a racquetball, and that, coupled with the smaller size of a squash court, makes the two independent sports distinct.

“The ball carries much less speed off the back wall than racquetball and squash is much more of a control game,” said Mireble. “The squash ladder is distinctly non-discriminatory. Men, women and faculty members of all shapes and sizes find their skills”

Umpires, gender inequity can’t stop softball

By Alexis Shapiro
21. Staff Writer

For those teams who were scheduled to play softball in the LSA League during opening weekend and did, it was all that they could hope for—the sweet promise of childhood games remembered. However for two teams, Motion To Strike and The Ducks, opening weekend was filled with dashed hopes and broken dreams.

Although some of the other teams scheduled to play did not, their hopes had never been “Ed” the umpire walked forecast had been predicting rain for days. However, The Ducks and Motion To Strike were unaware of the calamity that was going to strike them and leave no player untouched.

After a cold and rainy Saturday, Sun­

downed a beautiful spring-like day when all thoughts turn to softball. Hopes where high, a new season had started and anything could happen. One player for The Ducks, exuberantly claimed, “The other teams are going to have a hard time catching us.”

The player went on to echo a feeling which everyone had that day, “We’re going all the way to Virginia [site of the Law School Softball National Championship].”

As members of each team warmed up, they were confident that they would play despite the ominous presence of the rain. Even those who thought BCLS stu­dents, were wearing softball uniforms and warming up on the side of the diamond.

Any dreams of playing softball dashed when “Ed” the umpire walked onto the field. The Ducks’ Ed Farley (no relation to the umpire), along with Jay Shepherd and Pat Ratkowski of Motion To Strike went to speak with Ed the umpire.

Ed (the umpire) explained that yesterday’s rain had forced the city league to cancel their game and that they had rescheduled for this day.

Shepherd began negotiations with Ed the umpire in order to come to some agree­ment that would allow everyone to play. When Shepherd was unable to produce a field permit, Ed the umpire refused to compromise, declaring “Judge Roy Bean is the only law on this side of the Focos,” and thus ending the negotiations.

Another team captain had his player field elated.

The LSA league commissioners have since issued copies of the field permit to each team captain in order to prevent this from happening again. For some though, the painful memory still haunts them. Brian Popiel of The Ducks is one such player.

After a week later, Brian said “I’m still bitter about our first game.”

David Williams, first baseman for APALSA understands this pain, “I know I was very excited to get up at 8 a.m. to play softball, I can only imagine the ter­rible disappointment they must feel about not being able to play.” Ironically, for some players there today, the forced cancellation was a godsend because it saved them from forfeiting the game due to poor turnout.

Today’s results happened to The Ducks and Motion To Strike is terrible, but what is happening to women softball players is worse. Generally, unless a woman played Division One softball in college, her time on the field is limited. LSA League rules require that at least two of the ten players on the field are of a different sex than the other eight. What this means is that most teams are “forced” to place at least two women in the field, usually in the posi­tions of the back wall than racquetball and squash is much more of a control game,” said Mireble.

“The squash ladder is distinctly non-discriminatory. Men, women and faculty members of all shapes and sizes find their skills”
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Taking the MPRE?
Did you know California is considering changes in their bar exam? What about your state?

To learn answers to these and other questions, you are invited to a
FREE
BAR EXAM Presentation By
STANLEY D. CHESS, ESQ.
President, BAR/BRI Bar Review

Mr. Chess will discuss the format, recent changes and proposed changes on the bar exam and the MPRE, bar exam study techniques, a comparison of BAR/BRI with other bar review courses, taking multiple bar exams at the same time, and law school pass rates.

DATE: Wednesday, October 20
TIME: 1-1:45pm
PLACE: 103
ABA/LSD fosters involvement

By Yolanda Williams
3L Contributor

What is the ABA/LSD?

The American Bar Association is the largest professional organization for practicing attorneys. The Association is made up of over 360,000 attorneys, which constitutes nearly half of all lawyers. More than 34,000 law students belong to the ABA. Any law student attending an ABA-accredited law school is eligible to become a member by simply paying an annual membership fee of $15.00. Membership in the Law Student Division informs students about substantive law in general and specialized areas, offers economic benefits, provides opportunities for students to develop leadership skills and creates great networking with practicing attorneys. The Division’s activities and programs have several common purposes:

• To further academic excellence through participation by law students in the efforts of the organized bar in the formation and revision of standards of legal education.
• To achieve awareness and promote the involvement of law students in the solutions to problems that confront today’s changing society.

• To encourage Student Bar Association participation in the programs and activities of the division.

In addition to the many economic benefits offered by membership in the Association, the Division provides law students with valuable opportunities:

• To participate in programs to help them develop efficient and effective methods of delivering legal services.
• To become involved with and participate fully in the direction and aims of the organized bar.
• To promote the development of leadership through programs and activities.
• To promote professional responsibility.

The Structure of the Law Student Division

The Law Student Division of the American Bar Association is divided into fifteen regional groups. These regions are divided into sub-regional groups called “Circuits.”

The ABA/LSD fosters involvement

Governing Bodies

The ABA/LSD School Representative serves as the liaison between the ABA and the law schools to which they are affiliated. The Representative serves on the Division’s Regional and National Governing Boards. Every law school has an ABA/LSD School Representative. The representative’s responsibilities include:

• To participate in programs to help them develop efficient and effective methods of delivering legal services.
• To become involved with and participate fully in the direction and aims of the organized bar.
• To promote the development of leadership through programs and activities.
• To promote professional responsibility.

The First Circuit

The First Circuit is made up of thirteen law schools in Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont. The Circuit includes the states of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Vermont. The Circuit is comprised of the School Representative along with a member of each school’s student government. Other students are encouraged to attend Circuit meetings. Each Circuit elects a “Governor” to oversee the ABA/LSD School Representative and Division programs and to attend Board of Governors Meetings with Governors from all Circuits. This year the First Circuit Governor is BCLS’ own Yolanda Williams.

BCLS is extremely active on the Circuit level. 3L Kathleen Burke is the First Circuit Executive Lieutenant Governor. In August, she was chosen as the Law Student Division’s Student of the Month and featured in the 1993 September issue of the Student Lawyer. 3L Angela Moore is the Lieutenant Governor for student government Presidents. In addition, 3L Audrey Louison is the Law Student Division Liaison to the Public Utility Communications and Transportation Law Section. In her capacity, Audrey implements student programs and promotes student membership for her section.

For more information, contact Willard Dumas, your ABA Representative or any ABA officer. The ABA office is in Room B315. Stop by and say hello!

Upcoming ABA Activities:
1993 ABA-LSD Fall Banquet

Tuesday, October 12, 7:30pm
Yerardi’s Restaurant

This exciting event is an all-you-can-eat law student banquet in which the Honorable Judge Peter W. Agnes, Jr. of the Charlestown District Court and the Honorable Judge Domenic Russo will address the ABA/LSD members on Ethics, Diversity and the Law. All are welcome to attend. This is a co-sponsored event with ITALSA. Contact Phil Privitera or Willard Dumas for further information.

First Circuit Fall Roundtable

October 22-24
White River Junction—Vermont

An incredible amount of time, dedication and effort has gone into the planning of this Circuit meeting. The goal of the conference is to inform, educate, inspire, and motivate ABA-LSD Representatives and members. Expect lots of surprises and fun. Contact Willard Dumas for further information.

PIEPER BAR REVIEW
MPRE REVIEW

$ FREE $

PIEPER BAR REVIEW’S MPRE LECTURE is offered to ALL STUDENTS absolutely FREE!!! As compared with other bar review courses, when we say FREE, we mean it!!! You can call the office to register OR just attend the class you desire. WALK-INS ARE WELCOME!!! You will be asked for no money at any time. Our lecture and the materials accompanying the lecture (including the 200-page course book) are FREE!!! There is no hidden $75 charge.

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 23, 1993: LIVE CLASS

NYU LAW SCHOOL
TISHMAN AUDITORIUM

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 30, 1993: VIDEO CLASSES

BOSTON UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL
BUFFALO LAW SCHOOL
HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY
GEORGETOWN LAW CENTER
ROOM 1434
ROOM 210
ROOM 216, BRESLIN HALL
ROOM 154

SUNDAY, OCTOBER 31, 1993: VIDEO CLASSES

ALBANY LAW SCHOOL
NYU LAW SCHOOL
SYRACUSE LAW SCHOOL
ROOM E 7/8
ROOM 110
MELVIN ROOM

To register, call 1-800-635-6569 or just walk-in on the day of the class you wish to attend. YOU DO NOT HAVE TO BE REGISTERED WITH THE PIEPER BAR REVIEW COURSE TO TAKE THIS FREE MPRE COURSE. It is open to any and all interested students.

REMEMBER...THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO CHARGE FOR THIS COURSE!!!