

4-1-1965

## Article 10: Effective Date and Repealer

John F. O'Leary

Stuart L. Potter

Samuel E. Shaw II

Follow this and additional works at: <http://lawdigitalcommons.bc.edu/bclr>

---

### Recommended Citation

John F. O'Leary, Stuart L. Potter & Samuel E. Shaw II, *Article 10: Effective Date and Repealer*, 6 B.C.L. Rev. 604 (1965), <http://lawdigitalcommons.bc.edu/bclr/vol6/iss3/20>

This Uniform Commercial Code Commentary is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at Digital Commons @ Boston College Law School. It has been accepted for inclusion in Boston College Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ Boston College Law School. For more information, please contact [nick.szydowski@bc.edu](mailto:nick.szydowski@bc.edu).

been sufficient notice of breach of warranty under Section 2-607 (Official Comment 4) so that breach of warranty damages should have been considered as a possible support of the trial court's judgment for the defendant buyer on his counterclaim.

S.E.S. II

**SKEELS v. UNIVERSAL C.I.T. CREDIT CORP.**

222 F. Supp. 696 (1963), reversed in part, 335 F.2d 846 (1964)

Annotated under Section 1-203, supra.

## **ARTICLE 10: EFFECTIVE DATE AND REPEALER**

### **SECTION 10-101. Effective Date**

**MEADOW BROOK NAT'L BANK v. ROGERS**

253 N.Y.S.2d 501 (Nassau County Ct. 1964)

Annotated under Section 3-305, supra.