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FEDERAL TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR WORKERS: BROKEN EQUIPMENT

JESSICA SCHAUER*


Abstract: In The World Is Flat, Thomas Friedman argues that the convergence of various events and technologies over the past few decades have created a greater interconnectedness among individuals across the globe. One of the hallmarks of this latest wave of globalization has been the outsourcing of American jobs to foreign countries such as India. Friedman suggests that, in light of this trend, a comprehensive plan is needed to help Americans prepare for competition in the global economy. This Book Review analyzes whether the Federal Trade Adjustment Assistance program (TAA), part of the Trade Act of 1974, is a viable means for providing job training and assistance to Americans who have lost jobs due to offshore outsourcing. It concludes that the TAA program is largely ineffective and suggests various modifications.

Introduction

According to Thomas Friedman, globalization has occurred in three stages.1 “Globalization 1.0” began in 1492, when exploration of the New World caused states to become interconnected.2 “Globalization 2.0” was spurred on by the industrial revolution, as multinational companies became interconnected and created a global economy.3 The third stage, “Globalization 3.0,” is the focus of Friedman’s new book, The World is Flat.4 Friedman asserts that Globalization 3.0 arose through the convergence of various events and technologies that together have lowered barriers to interacting on a global scale,

2 Id.
3 Id.
4 Id. at 10.
“flattening” the world such that individuals are now interconnected.\textsuperscript{5} One visible aspect of this new interconnected or “flat” world is the outsourcing of American jobs, particularly high-tech and service sector jobs, to foreign countries like India.\textsuperscript{6} While Friedman contends that the newest wave of globalization will prove to be a positive force in the long run, he acknowledges that it can also have a devastating impact on individuals whose jobs have been outsourced.\textsuperscript{7} In order to temper the impact of globalization on individual American workers and to prepare them for competition in the global economy, Friedman outlines a plan that he calls “Compassionate Flatism.”\textsuperscript{8} One element of Compassionate

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item Id.
\item See Friedman, supra note 1, at 24, 103–13. The term “outsourcing” technically applies any time a business contracts out work previously done in-house, whether or not that work is performed overseas. See Daniel W. Drezner, The Outsourcing Bogeyman, FOREIGN AFF., May/June 2004, at 22, 24; C. Alan Garner, Offshoring in the Service Sector: Economic Impact and Policy Issues, ECON. REV., Third Quarter 2004, at 5, 6, available at http://www.kc.frb.org/PUBLICAT/ECONREV/Pdf/3Q04garn.pdf. Meanwhile, “offshoring” refers to the transfer of work to a foreign country, whether it is performed by another office of the same company or a separate company. Id. at 5. Because of the prevalence of “offshore outsourcing,” however, the two are often used interchangeably. See, e.g., Drezner, supra at 22. Offshore outsourcing has become a salient political topic and was frequently discussed during the 2004 Presidential election. See Robert Atkinson, Meeting the Offshoring Challenge, 2004 PROGRESSIVE POL’Y INST. 1, available at http://www. ppionline.org/documents/offshoring20704.pdf; Carolyn Lochhead, Outsourcing: Fed Chairman Warns U.S. Against Protectionist Cures, S.F. CHRON., Feb. 21, 2004, at A1; U.S. Election 2004: Grappling with Globalisation, ECONOMIST, Oct. 9, 2004, at 14, 15 [hereinafter Grappling with Globalisation]. Both major candidates, Republican George W. Bush and Democrat John Kerry, announced plans to decrease the number of jobs going overseas. See id. The centerpiece of Kerry’s plan was the elimination of “tax loopholes,” or deferment options that increase the profitability of hiring overseas workers, whereas President Bush focused upon lowering corporate taxes to “make America a better place to do business.” Atkinson, supra at 1; Grappling with Globalisation, supra at 15. The two candidates also sparred over the issue during the televised presidential debates. See President George W. Bush & Sen. John Kerry, The Third Bush-Kerry Presidential Debate (Oct. 13, 2004), http://www.debates.org/pages/trans2004Id_p.html [hereinafter Debates].
\item Friedman, supra note 1, at 276; Thomas J. Manley & Scott M. Hobby, Globalization of Work: Offshore Outsourcing in the IT Age, 18 EMORY INT’L L. REV. 401, 406 (2004) (“Economists defend job offshoring as just one more element of free trade that, in the long run, works to the benefit of all parties involved. They are equally ready, however, to acknowledge the severe economic, political, and social dislocation that job offshoring can cause in the short run.”)(footnotes omitted)).
\item Friedman, supra note 1, at 280. Compassionate Flatism, in Friedman’s description, “is a policy blend built around five broad categories of action for the age of flat: leadership, muscle building, cushioning, social activism, and parenting.” Id. Regarding the “leadership” prong of this plan, Friedman states that globalization requires leaders who will support policies aimed at large-scale advancement of science and technology. Id. at 283. In particular, Friedman suggests a presidential push for alternative energy and energy conservation modeled on the “moon race” of the 1960s. Id. The “cushioning” portion, according to Friedman, would consist of a wage insurance program, so that workers who
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
Flatism, what Friedman terms “muscle building,” involves creation of a workforce with “lifetime employability.” Friedman states that lifetime employment with a single employer will become increasingly rare as globalization accelerates, and thus American workers must be prepared properly for frequent career changes. The measures Friedman proposes to achieve this goal are twofold: creation of portable benefits that employees can take from job to job, and lifelong learning opportunities so that workers can sharpen their skills and attain higher value-added work if their jobs are sent overseas.

This Book Review will focus on the second measure, lifetime learning, and will assess whether federal Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), part of the Trade Act of 1974, is an effective route for providing education and training to those who have already lost jobs to outsourcing. Part I discusses the problems faced by individuals and families affected by offshore outsourcing. Part II provides an overview of the TAA program, including amendments made in 2002 as part of the Trade Act of 2002. Part III addresses three problem areas in the TAA program. First, it is insufficient in its scope, as it fails to reach the high-tech and service-sector jobs that are now being lost to foreign outsourcing. Second, it is poorly administered and under-funded, and as a result many displaced workers currently eligible for assistance have difficulty obtaining it. Third, the training provided by the program is largely ineffective. This Book Review concludes that, although the changes made in 2002 significantly improved the TAA program, TAA as it currently exists fails as an effective provider of education and job training to those who have lost their jobs to foreign outsourcing. Insofar as advanced training and education are “muscle build-

---

9 Id. at 284.
10 Id.
11 See id.
ing,” Trade Adjustment Assistance is the broken exercise equipment gathering dust in the corner of the American economy.

I. THE EFFECTS OF OFFSHORE OUTSOURCING

Joan Pounds is a single mother and former information technology (IT) representative for Agilent Technologies. Discussing her job at Agilent, Pounds stated, “I walked to work when I was seven months’ pregnant in a blizzard and stayed for three more shifts . . . . I did that because I cared about the company.” Despite that show of dedication, Pounds was laid off in July of 2003, after her employer contracted with a firm in India to do her job. She had to train her own replacements via teleconference. After being laid off, Pounds sent out twenty-five resumes a week but was unable to find full-time employment, forcing her to take a part-time job as a senior citizen caregiver at seven dollars an hour. Since then, it has been hard just to get by. With no medical benefits, she must pay the costs of treatment for her son’s bipolar disorder out of pocket, and in 2004 she sold her house at a loss two days before it was to be foreclosed.

Unfortunately, stories like Pounds’s are becoming increasingly common. U.S. job losses due to offshoring doubled between 2001 and 2004, and various research institutes have predicted that offshore outsourcing will continue to increase. McKinsey Global Institute es-

16 Jennifer Reingold, Into Thin Air, Fast Co., Apr. 2004, at 76, 81. Pounds is profiled in a 2004 article in the magazine Fast Company which relates the experiences of forty IT employees who lost their jobs to offshore outsourcing. Id. at 67–82. Other profiled workers include Corey Goode and James Victor. Id. at 77, 82. Goode worked on a contract basis with Microsoft, providing support for their call centers; part of his job included setting up user accounts for workers in Bangalore, India, who would replace domestic employees. Id. at 77. Just before the birth of his first child, Goode learned that his own job was moving to India. Id. Victor lost his contract programming job with First Data due to outsourcing and was evicted from his apartment. Id. at 82. Although he was among the lucky ones to have found a new job relatively soon, at 51 years old he stated, “I don’t see myself in a situation where I can ever retire.” Id.
17 Id. at 81.
18 Id.
19 Id.
20 Id.
21 See id.
22 Reingold, supra note 16, at 81.
23 See id; Drezner, supra note 6, at 24.
24 Mara Lee, Ohio Hit Hard By Job Drain, Dayton Daily News, Oct. 19, 2004, at D1; Drezner, supra note 6, at 24. Sources note, however, that predictions regarding the offshore outsourcing trend are “subject to considerable uncertainty” due to the newness of
estimates a 30% to 40% increase in the volume of offshore outsourcing per year for the next five years. Forrester Research predicts that 3.3 million white collar jobs will be sent overseas by 2015. Deloitte Research predicts that by 2009 two million financial-sector jobs will have been outsourced. In one scenario, research firm Gartner predicts that as many as 25% of all IT jobs could be outsourced to other countries by 2008. For those whose jobs are sent overseas, it is notoriously difficult to find new work. As of 2000, the U.S. General Accounting Office reported that only 75% of dislocated workers found new employment and those who did made less than 80% of their previous wage. Hardest hit are older workers who have become established in their careers. For example, Doug Hill worked as an automotive design contractor for Lear Seating for years before his job was sent overseas; because he had been unable to find full-time work, he worked part-time at a veterans’ benefits office. Asked about his prospects in April of 2004, Hill stated, “I’m done . . . I know that. Who’s going to hire me? I’m 60. I’m just living one day at a time, and I do a lot of praying.”

II. Trade Adjustment Assistance: Background

Theoretically, the Trade Adjustment Assistance program should be available to help people like Pounds and Hill who have lost jobs due to the phenomenon, the structural changes it is likely to cause, and the likelihood that it will be affected by political events. See Garner, supra note 6, at 11. See Garner, supra note 6, at 11.

Drezner, supra note 6, at 24. The jobs most likely to go overseas are those that are labor intensive, information-based, can be reduced to a routine set of instructions, and can be monitored from a distance. Garner, supra note 6, at 17. Nonetheless, the range of activities that can be outsourced is vast—the New York Times even reported in December, 2005 that computer game enthusiasts have begun outsourcing the early rounds of their games to Chinese players. See David Barboza, Ogre to Slay? Outsource it to the Chinese, N.Y. Times, Dec. 9, 2005, at A1.

Drezner, supra note 6, at 24.

Reingold, supra note 16, at 78.


Id.

See Reingold, supra note 16, at 79–80; see also Garner, supra note 6, at 15 (citing a study of displaced manufacturing workers that found that, “[o]lder, less educated workers with long tenures in their job were unemployed longer or, if reemployed, were more likely to experience earnings losses exceeding 30 percent.”).

Reingold, supra note 16, at 79.

Id. at 80.
international trade.\textsuperscript{34} Trade Adjustment Assistance was first included in the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, a bill regulating foreign trade, as an alternative to traditional, protectionist forms of import relief such as tariffs.\textsuperscript{35} The 1962 Act, however, contained stringent standards regarding the conditions under which aid could be received, and as a result the assistance provisions were under-utilized.\textsuperscript{36} The Trade Act of 1974 modified the earlier provisions, loosening the eligibility criteria and placing oversight of the program with the Department of Labor, rather than the U.S. Tariff Commission.\textsuperscript{37} In 2002, Congress once again significantly revised the program and combined it with the adjustment assistance program provided under the North American Free Trade Agreement implementing legislation (NAFTA-TAA).\textsuperscript{38}

To receive benefits under the program, workers must satisfy both group and individual qualifications.\textsuperscript{39} To achieve group certification, a group of three or more workers must first file a petition with the Department of Labor (DOL).\textsuperscript{40} The group of workers must show that they have been laid off and that either sales of articles produced by the workers’ firm have decreased while imports of competitive articles have increased or that the firm has shifted production to a foreign country with which the United States is a partner in certain trade agreements.\textsuperscript{41}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{34} See Atkinson, \textit{supra} note 6, at 11.
\item \textsuperscript{36} See Smith, \textit{supra} note 35, at 950.
\item \textsuperscript{39} 19 U.S.C. § 2272–2273 (Supp. I 2002).
\item \textsuperscript{40} 19 U.S.C. § 2272; U.S. Dep’t. of Labor, Trade Adjustment Assistance Fact Sheet, http://www.doleta.gov/programs/factsht/taa.cfm (last visited Mar. 20, 2005) [hereinafter DOL Fact Sheet].
\item \textsuperscript{41} DOL Fact Sheet, \textit{supra} note 40. The group eligibility requirements under the Trade Act of 2002 state in relevant part that a group of laid-off workers can be certified for assistance if:
\begin{itemize}
\item (2)(A)(i) sales or production, or both, of [the workers’] firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely
\item (ii) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased; and
\item (iii) the increase in imports described in clause (ii) contributed importantly to such workers’ separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm of subdivision; or
\item (B)(i) there has been a shift in production by such workers’ firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and
\end{itemize}
Once the group of workers is certified, individual workers must then file applications individually to determine eligibility for adjustment assistance.\(^4\) Individual workers are eligible if they were terminated within two years of the group certification, had worked for at least twenty-six weeks at a rate of at least $30 per week during the year prior to termination, have exhausted all rights to state unemployment insurance, and have either enrolled in a training program or received a waiver of the training requirement from DOL.\(^5\) Under the program, eligible workers can receive income support for an additional fifty-two weeks following termination of state unemployment benefits, tax credits for health insurance, job search and relocation allowances, as well as “104 weeks of approved training in occupational skills, basic or remedial education, or training in literacy or English as a second language.”\(^6\)

Trade Adjustment Assistance was unquestionably improved by the 2002 amendments. The most fundamental changes were the creation of health insurance tax credits and merger of the program with NAFTA-TAA, but the program was improved in more subtle ways as well.\(^7\) For example, structural problems regarding the provision of training were addressed.\(^8\) Nonetheless, even with these changes in place, the program still suffers from serious deficiencies.

(ii)(I) the country to which the workers’ firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

(II) the country to which the workers’ firm has shifted production of the articles is a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preferences Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act

(III) there has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision[.]

19 U.S.C. § 2272(a). Note that these requirements exclude individuals in service industries from coverage. See id.


\(^4\) 19 U.S.C §§ 2273(a), 2273(c) (Supp. I 2002).

\(^5\) 19 U.S.C. §§ 2293, 2296–2298 (Supp. I 2002); 26 U.S.C. § 35 (2004); DOL Fact Sheet, supra note 40. Workers requiring remedial training may receive an additional twenty-six weeks of training benefits and income support. 19 U.S.C. § 2296(a)(2). The TAA statute also theoretically provides wage insurance to older workers, but due to vague drafting and restrictive regulations promulgated by DOL, the provision was “effectively stillborn.” 19 U.S.C. § 2315 (Supp. I 2002); Roger Lowenstein, Jobs, N.Y. Times, Sept. 5, 2004 § 6 (Magazine), at 54.

\(^6\) See Trade Act of 2002 §§ 111–125, 201. The amendments also made the program moderately more inclusive by allowing some secondary workers to receive benefits. See Trade Act of 2002 § 113(a).

\(^7\) Trade Act of 2002 § 116. Under prior versions of the act, training was provided for up to twenty-four months but income support ended after only eighteen. U.S. Gen. Ac-
III. Trade Adjustment Assistance: Assessment

A. Scope

TAAR currently only extends to a small subset of those whose jobs are offshored.\textsuperscript{47} Because the Act is phrased such that it covers only workers involved in production of an “article,” workers in service-sector jobs are excluded from its scope.\textsuperscript{48} Furthermore, in practice, DOL has interpreted the word “article” very narrowly, excluding software that is not embodied in tangible form such as a CD-ROM or diskette.\textsuperscript{49} This limits the types of workers able to receive benefits under the Act.\textsuperscript{50} These limitations on the types of workers who can receive benefits through the program have been widely criticized for a number of reasons.\textsuperscript{51} First, the number of IT and service sector jobs moving overseas is rapidly rising.\textsuperscript{52} According to the survey-based predictions of Cambridge, Massachusetts firm Forrester Research, 3.4 million U.S. service jobs, or 6.4% of the jobs in affected categories, will go overseas by 2015.\textsuperscript{53} The fact that this trend has developed rather quickly and has affected a group of workers not previously touched by offshore outsourcing has caused “a very large proportion

---

\textsuperscript{47} 19 U.S.C. § 2272; see also Lee, supra note 24, at D1 (stating that only 31% of unemployed workers applied for TAA, partly because it does not extend to white-collar workers).

\textsuperscript{48} See Lee, supra note 24, at D1.


\textsuperscript{50} See id.

\textsuperscript{51} See Lowenstein, supra note 44, at 54; William J. Holstein, Cutting the Losses from Outsourcing, N.Y. Times, July 3, 2005, § 3, at 8.

\textsuperscript{52} Manley & Hobby, supra note 7, at 404.

\textsuperscript{53} Id.
of the labor force [to] feel very vulnerable.” Moreover, due to the fact that these workers’ pre-layoff salaries are generally higher than salaries for manufacturing workers who have been in the workforce a comparable numbers of years, they receive relatively less from unemployment insurance, and have a more difficult time securing scholarships to help pay for retraining.

Also, limits on access to the program are imposed based on the foreign country to which one’s job has been sent. TAA is only available to workers whose jobs have been sent to countries that are partners to free trade agreements or beneficiaries under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act. These restrictions mean that those whose jobs are outsourced to China and India—by far the most popular destinations for offshoring among U.S. companies—are not eligible for TAA.

Although there is some hope of change, it is slow in coming. Democratic Senator Max Baucus of Montana has, for at least the fourth time in as many years, introduced legislation that would amend


57 Id. According to DOL, the nations to which the TAA applies are: Canada, Mexico, Chile, Israel, Singapore, Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, Bolivia, Columbia, Ecuador, Peru, Benin, Botswana, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Republic of Congo, Cote d’Ivoire, Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritius, Mozambique, Namibia, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, San Tome and Principe, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Swaziland, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Antigua and Barbuda, Aruba, the Bahamas, Belize, British Virgin Islands, Costa Rica, Montserrat, El Salvador, Grenada, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, the Dominican Republic, Nicaragua, Panama, St. Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Trinidad and Tobago, Dominica, and Netherlands Antilles. U.S. Dep’t of Labor, Free Trade Agreement & Beneficiary Countries, http://www.doleta.gov/tradeact/2002act_freetradeagreements.cfm (last visited Feb. 23, 2006) [hereinafter DOL Countries].

58 See DOL Countries, supra note 57; Manley & Hobby, supra note 7, at 405. Eighty-four percent of companies engaged in offshore outsourcing have sent jobs to India and forty-five percent have sent jobs to China. Manley & Hobby, supra note 7, at 405.

the Trade Act of 1974 to eliminate both the “article” and “trade agreement” limitations. Republicans in Congress have been wary of the bill, however, citing concerns regarding the expense of providing health benefits to an enlarged group of recipients. In 2002, Baucus introduced the proposal along with other amendments to the Act. Although many of the proposed TAA amendments were signed into law, including a substantial increase in the program budget, the provision to extend assistance to service workers was struck from the final version of the bill. Baucus again proposed the legislation extending TAA to include service workers in the Trade Adjustment Assistance Equality for Service Workers Act of 2004. The bill again was defeated, despite a solid majority in favor of the proposal, this time by a procedural measure. In 2005, Baucus proposed the legislation a third time as an amendment to the U.S.-Central America-Dominican Republic Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA). The Finance committee adopted the language, but the amendment was stripped out of the final implementing bill sent back by the Bush Administration. A week later, Baucus again introduced the legislation stating, “[f]rankly, I am disappointed to have to introduce this bill yet again.” Given the amendment’s history, its passage this time seems uncertain at best.

It is similarly uncertain whether the Department of Labor will loosen its definition of “article” so as to include more high-tech workers. In 2003, a group of laid-off software programmers from IBM petitioned for TAA benefits. The Department of Labor denied them

---

60 Baucus, supra note 59; see S. 1309.
61 See Emily Johns, Coleman Amendment to Aid Jobless Fails in Senate, STAR TRIB. (Minneapolis), May 5, 2004, at 1D. Republican Senator Don Nickles, who helped defeat the amendment in 2004, objected to the cost of the bill and asked, “how socialistic do you have to get?” Id.
62 Baucus, supra note 59.
63 Paul Blustein, White House Warms Up to Worker Aid, WASH. POST, Mar. 13, 2004, at E01.
64 Baucus, supra note 59; see S. 2157, 108th Cong. (2004).
65 Johns, supra note 61, at 1D.
66 Baucus, supra note 59; see S. 1309.
67 Baucus, supra note 59; see S. 1309. President Bush has been intermittently supportive of TAA. See Debates, supra note 6; Blustein, supra note 63, at E01. Despite cutting the proposal from the CAFTA implementing legislation, he cited the 2002 amendments, particularly the increase in the program’s budget, with approval during the presidential debates, and hinted in March of 2004 that he would support expansion of the program to cover service workers. Debates, supra note 6; Blustein, supra note 63, at E01.
68 Baucus, supra note 59.
certification, stating that the software they produced was not an “article” for purposes of the TAA.\textsuperscript{70} The programmers challenged their denial in the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT), which has oversight of the process.\textsuperscript{71} CIT remanded the petition to Labor based on the fact that the department had not sufficiently investigated whether the software produced by the plaintiffs was embodied in tangible form on a CD-ROM or diskette, and thus was an “article” under DOL’s traditional definition.\textsuperscript{72} CIT also urged DOL to reconsider its practice of distinguishing between production of software embodied in tangible form and software transmitted electronically.\textsuperscript{73} The court stated that the U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC), upon whose guidelines Labor had relied in creating that distinction, had since done away with it.\textsuperscript{74} Some observers are skeptical of DOL’s willingness to change this standard, citing concerns with funding within the department.\textsuperscript{75} Nonetheless, it is clear that if TAA is to be an effective provider of training to those affected by offshore outsourcing, the scope of the program must be expanded.

\textsuperscript{70} Id.  
\textsuperscript{71} See \textit{id}.  
\textsuperscript{72} \textit{Id.} at 1353.  
\textsuperscript{73} \textit{Id.}  
\textsuperscript{74} \textit{Former Employees of IBM Corp., Global Servs. Div.}, 387 F. Supp. 2d at 1353. USITC issued a cease and desist order in March of 1998 prohibiting the importation of software related to hardware logic emulation systems that violated patents held by a U.S. corporation. \textit{In re Certain Hardware Logic Emulation Systems and Components Thereof}, Inv. No. 337-TA-383, 1998 WL 307240, at *1 (USITC 1998). USITC’s order reached electronic transmissions of the software as well as embodiments of it in tangible form. \textit{Id.} at *8. The Commission stated,  
a cease and desist order that did not prohibit electronic transmission would be meaningless as to the software since respondents would be free simply to transmit the software electronically to a U.S. customer, who could then copy it onto a diskette or other tangible medium for use with an infringing emulation system . . . it would be anomalous for the Commission to be able to stop the transfer of a CD-ROM or diskette containing respondents’ software, but not be able to stop the transfer of the very same software when transmitted in machine readable form by electronic means.  
See \textit{id}.  
\textsuperscript{75} See Blustein, \textit{supra} note 63, at E01 (quoting former congressional aide Howard Rosen, who helped draft the 2002 legislation amending the TAA and commented that DOL will not ease this restriction because the department is “nickeling and diming”). In November 2005, DOL again rejected the IBM workers petition, this time making no comment regarding the “article” requirement but instead finding that the workers had not met the requirement for “employment decline,” or that an insufficient number of workers in their division had been laid off. See \textit{Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance}, 70 Fed. Reg. 68,098, 86,099 (Nov. 9, 2005).
B. Administration and Funding

Although administration of TAA was simplified in 2002 when it was combined with NAFTA-TAA, the DOL certification process remains, by all accounts, nightmarish. From 2001 through 2004, the Court of International Trade upheld only 12.5% of DOL’s denials of group certification. The CIT’s Judge Ridgway, in *Former Employees of Chevron v. U.S. Secretary of Labor*, expressed her frustration with DOL’s handling of the TAA certification process,

this case stands as a monument to the flaws and dysfunctions in the Labor Department’s administration of the nation’s trade adjustment assistance laws . . . [this case is part of] the growing line of precedent involving court-ordered certifications of workers, evidencing the bench’s mounting frustration with the Labor Department’s handling of these cases. Clearly, there is a message here. Only time will tell whether the Labor Department, and Congress, are listening.

The DOL is supposed to investigate the facts surrounding petitioning workers’ separation from employment, but the department’s process for doing this has been described as “perfunctory,” “sloppy and inadequate” and “dereliction of duty.” The DOL’s general practice is to ask a human resources employee at the firm from which the petitioning workers have been laid off the reasons for the separation. Due to public perceptions of offshore outsourcing, employers have a strong incentive not to admit that their former employees’ jobs were lost due to overseas transactions. As a result, the information from which DOL works is less than accurate.

---

76 See Brooks-Rubin, supra note 14, at 797.
77 Id. at 806.
80 See Brooks-Rubin, supra note 14, at 804.
81 Id. at 822; Reingold, supra note 16, at 79. A Zogby International poll conducted in 2004 found that 62% of Americans felt that the government should tax or legislate against companies that engage in outsourcing, and 71% felt outsourcing was bad for the economy. Zogby International, News Item Regarding Views on Offshore Outsourcing, http://www.zogby.com/news/ReadNews.dbm?ID=870 (released Sept. 22, 2004). Feeding off of that public sentiment, 2004 presidential candidate John Kerry referred in his stump speeches
Brad Brooks-Rubin, author of a comprehensive critique of the certification process, offers several suggestions to address these administrative problems, including improvement of the TAA petition used by workers and a requirement that DOL speak to third parties in their investigations.\(^8^3\) Brooks-Rubin also asserts that much of DOL’s difficulty in handling the certification process stems from poor definition of the requirement that workers be engaged in “production.”\(^8^4\) In other words, the standard used by DOL to distinguish between manufacturing and service-sector jobs is unclear.\(^8^5\) He suggests that a clearer standard should be drafted, and that petitions from certain industries for which the “production” standard is a persistent problem should be analyzed using separate, industry-specific criteria.\(^8^6\) A much simpler solution, however, would be to eliminate the “production” requirement altogether by extending TAA to cover service workers.\(^8^7\) If the need to distinguish between manufacturing and service workers were eliminated, any confusion arising from the “production” standard would become moot.

In addition to being poorly administered, TAA training programs are insufficiently funded.\(^8^8\) Training programs are administered through each individual state, and some states have reported major funding shortfalls.\(^8^9\) For example, in 2000 New Jersey reported having to temporarily shut down the training program at the end of several quarters and wait for new funding to arrive.\(^9^0\) Four states have had waiting lists for training.\(^9^1\) Funding for training was increased from $80,000,000 to $220,000,000 as part of the 2002 amendments, but states continue to exhaust their TAA funds, partly because the demand for training increased substantially in 2002.\(^9^2\) The U.S. General Accounting Office re-
ports that in 2004, thirty-five states expected that available training funds would not cover the amount they would obligate and spend.\textsuperscript{93} Eighteen states estimated that these funds would fall short by more than a million dollars.\textsuperscript{94} These funding problems have forced some states to reduce the quality of benefits available to recipients by imposing or lowering caps on the amount spent per TAA participant.\textsuperscript{95} Until these serious funding and administrative problems are addressed, TAA will continue to fail to provide adequate assistance to displaced workers.

\section*{C. Training Benefits}

Empirical studies demonstrate that the training currently provided to TAA recipients does not actually afford them any measurable benefit in terms of earnings.\textsuperscript{96} The vast majority of those who receive training benefits under TAA seek specific job-related training in new occupations, and the majority of this training is provided by vocational centers and community colleges.\textsuperscript{97} This type of training program has influential supporters, but research shows that it is actually ineffective in terms of increasing either the probability that a trainee will find work or the wages earned once a new job is found.\textsuperscript{98}

A comprehensive assessment of the effect of TAA-sponsored training on the wages of trainees was completed ten years ago by Mathematica Policy Research.\textsuperscript{99} The study concluded that, while the wages of those who had completed training under the TAA exceeded those of nontrainees in absolute numbers, that wage differential was attributable...
entirely to personal differences between the two groups rather than the receipt of training itself.\textsuperscript{100} Those who received training tended to be younger and better educated.\textsuperscript{101} When those differences were taken into account through regression analysis, there was no statistically significant difference between the earnings of the two groups.\textsuperscript{102} These findings are consistent with those from studies of other government-sponsored job retraining programs as well, especially those associated with welfare-to-work programs.\textsuperscript{103}

Nonetheless, the importance of education and training should not be downplayed. The Educational Testing Service reports that adults who lack formal schooling and a solid base of literacy skills are at a significantly higher risk of poverty.\textsuperscript{104} In addition, retraining programs have been shown to have a major effect on workers’ confidence and productivity.\textsuperscript{105} The same researchers who reported in 1995 that the training provided under TAA did not affect trainees’ wages reported that a majority of trainees felt that their training helped them both to find a new job and succeed at that job once they found it.\textsuperscript{106} Furthermore, there is a growing “numbers gap” in terms of educational achievement in America which has exacerbated the offshoring problem.\textsuperscript{107} The International Adult Literacy Survey (IALS), completed in 1998, found that the United States ranked only twelfth among the twenty high-income countries surveyed in terms of the literacy proficiency of its adult population.\textsuperscript{108} This comes as lower income countries like China are turning out more and more workers with advanced degrees.\textsuperscript{109}

According to economists James J. Heckman and Lance Lochner, the problem with government retraining programs is a fundamental misunderstanding in policy circles as to the sources of skill founda-
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tion. Though public policy tends to focus upon formal schooling as the primary source of skills and knowledge, as much as one-third to one-half of all skill formation occurs on the job, but this training is often neglected because it is difficult to measure. Heckman and Lochner suggest shifting the emphasis of the TAA education and training programs from formal, classroom-based models to employer-based, on-the-job programs.

An on-the-job training model also comports well with Friedman’s vision of lifetime learning. Friedman describes a training program used by the credit card company CapitalOne. When CapitalOne began to outsource computer-related jobs to India it also developed a cross-training program for the workers most likely to be affected. The cross-training program took workers that have specialized in a particular aspect of the company’s computer systems or business and trained them in a variety of related areas. Programs like this benefit both the employer and employee: cross-trained workers are in a better position to find new work if they are laid off, and are more valuable to CapitalOne if retained. Compared to the type of training provided by TAA, programs like CapitalOne’s are superior in another important respect: they are proactive rather than reactive. The program assists workers before they are actually laid off, thereby getting them back to work faster.

Hindering a move to employer-based training programs is the fact that, partly due to the increase in offshoring, the overall rate at which workers move from job to job has increased. As a result, employers may be less willing to invest in the training of employees whose skills have become obsolete—when an employee’s average tenure is only a
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few years, the return is simply not worth the investment.\footnote{121} New incentives need to be created.\footnote{122} TAA allows training subsidies to be used for employer-based training, but these provisions are rarely used and only available after displacement has occurred.\footnote{123} A dialogue with employers needs to be opened, and the program’s structure needs to be adjusted so as to allow effective, proactive, employer-based programs to flourish.

**Conclusion**

When economists speak of globalization, they often note that it creates “short-term losses” but “long-term gains.”\footnote{124} The reality, however, is that those who now bear the burden of the losses will likely never themselves see the gains. As a matter of simple justice, we owe aid to those who have been affected by the landslide of globalization. Furthermore, if these displaced workers are ignored, a political backlash is inevitable.\footnote{125} No doubt, aid for displaced workers is expensive. The cost, however, is more than offset by the potential gains to be made through globalization, and increasing the education and training levels of American workers has the potential to create a more productive economy in and of itself.\footnote{126} Trade Adjustment Assistance deserves more serious contemplation and administration. It is well worth the cost of getting it right.
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