COMMENTS

ANNUAL SURVEY OF FEDERAL EN BANC AND OTHER SIGNIFICANT CASES

EVERYBODY’S GOING SURFING: THE THIRD CIRCUIT APPROVES THE WARRANTLESS USE OF INTERNET TRACKING DEVICES IN UNITED STATES v. STANLEY
Emily W. Andersen ................................................................. 1

“WIRE” CIRCUIT COURTS SPLIT ON CABLE PIRACY: THE FIFTH CIRCUIT EXAMINES FEDERAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS LAW IN J&J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC. v. MANDELL FAMILY VENTURES
Brian Fleming ........................................................................ 18

LIMITED FAITH IN THE GOOD FAITH EXCEPTION: THE THIRD CIRCUIT REQUIRES A WARRANT FOR GPS SEARCHES AND NARROWS THE SCOPE OF THE DAVIS EXCEPTION TO THE EXCLUSIONARY RULE IN UNITED STATES v. KATZIN
Clare Hanlon ........................................................................ 33

THE COMFORT OF HOME: WHY PERUTA v. COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO’S EXTENSION OF SECOND AMENDMENT RIGHTS GOES BEYOND THE SCOPE ENVISIONED BY THE SUPREME COURT
Reed Harasimowicz ................................................................. 51

WHEN FREEDOM PREVENTS VINDICATION: WHY THE HECK RULE SHOULD NOT BAR A PRISONER’S § 1983 ACTION IN DEEMER v. BEARD
Alice Huang ........................................................................... 65

REAL ACCOUNTABILITY: THE NCAA CAN NO LONGER EVADE ANTITRUST LIABILITY THROUGH AMATEURISM AFTER O’BANNON v. NCAA
Michael T. Jones ...................................................................... 79
SHE’S GOT A TICKET TO RIDE: THE NINTH CIRCUIT’S DETERMINATION IN SACHS V. REPUBLIC OF AUSTRIA THAT A TICKET SALE BY A COMMON LAW AGENT ABROGATES A FOREIGN STATE-OWNED COMMON CARRIER’S SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY
Jennifer Lichtman ................................................................. 92

BEHIND THE VENIRE: RATIONALE, REWARDS AND RAMIFICATIONS OF HEIGHTENED SCRUTINY AND THE NINTH CIRCUIT’S EXTENSION OF EQUAL PROTECTION TO GAYS AND LESBIANS DURING JURY SELECTION IN SMITHKLINE V. ABBOTT
James Lobo .................................................................................. 106

RETURN FIRE: AN EN BANC HEARING IN WOLLSCHLAEGER V. GOVERNOR OF FLORIDA IS NECESSARY TO PROTECT THE FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF PHYSICIANS
Erika Manderscheid ....................................................................... 123

A CAUSE FOR CONCERN: THE NEED FOR PROXIMATE CAUSE IN SEC ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS AND HOW THE THIRD CIRCUIT GOT IT WRONG IN SEC V. TEO
John P. Quinn .................................................................................. 138

UNKNOWNABLE REMEDIES: ALBINO V. BACA, THE PLRA EXHAUSTION REQUIREMENT, AND THE PROBLEM OF NOTICE
Ethan Rubin ....................................................................................... 151

A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT’S FIRST BITE AT THE CONSTITUTIONAL APPLE: THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT’S EXCESSIVELY DEFERENTIAL CONCEPTION OF “ADJUDICATION ON THE MERITS” IN CHILDERS V. FLOYD
Chris Skall ......................................................................................... 164

MERE SPECULATION: OVEREXTENDING CARCIERI V. SALIZAR IN BIG LAGOON RANCHERIA V. CALIFORNIA
Christian Vareika ............................................................................... 180

WHISTLEBLOWER’S DELIGHT: AN EVALUATION OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT DECISION IN FOGLIA V. RENAL VENTURES
Patrick Venter ............................................................................... 195